FOUR SERIOUS MATTERS Facing Latin American Mennonites

by Luis Elier Rodríguez

Editor's Note: We reprint this article in celebration of FQ's 20th Anniversary. It first appeared in the Winter 1988 issue of Festival Quarterly.

In my judgment the Mennonite church in Latin America has four primary challenges. The first has to do with facing in a critical way the missionary work carried out in our continent. The second is contextualizing Anabaptism in today's Latin American reality. That is necessary in order that Anabaptism may continue to be viable in the congregations and other communities of faith. The third challenge refers to making peace, rather than simply hoping that fate may bring it about. The fourth has to do with the hope that is necessary and strengthening to the church on the way.

Rethinking Missions
For the most part, the Mennonite church in Latin America has arisen from projects of missionary groups in the United States and Canada. It also has arisen from emigrations from Europe during the first half of this century. The majority of these



leade

miss

what

toda

by fo

miss

adeq

reali

work

suffi

certa

natio

peop trans thro

pater cultu

othe

miss

miss

relat

culti

iden

0



persons were influenced by pietism and others by the strong evangelical influence in the United States. Now the new Latin American Mennonite leadership is questioning the type of mission that has developed and what the new focus should be for today.

One of the problems highlighted by foreign missiology is the fact that mission efforts in the past did not adequately identify the cultural reality of the settings where mission work was being done. Nor was sufficient adaptation made. This was certainly the case in regard to the national identities of Latin American peoples. On many occasions the transmission of faith was done through a white Christ and paternalism about the customs and cultural traits of Latin America. In other words, in the beginning, the missionaries did not contextualize mission. That caused a poor relationship between gospel and culture, and, as a result, a church identity that lacked national identity.

Now the new leadership that has arisen has the desire of making a mission and church life from its own Latin American context.

Anabaptism: An Option for the Poor

Another task the Latin American churches face is to reinterpret Anabaptism with its radical implications for the Latin American setting. The Anabaptists of the 16th century refused loyalty to the feudal system that produced a society divided into socio-economic classes of feudal lords and peasants. The Latin American neo-Anabaptists want to oppose divisions between rich and poor and situations in which the poor suffer oppression and all its consequences.

The Anabaptist movement serves as an obvious model and inspiration for the Latin American Mennonite church. The Anabaptists refused to participate in the feudal government. They presented the

distribution of goods as an alternative to the feudal socioeconomic structure and did not swear oaths or go to war. They did so as a protest against feudal culture. Their refusal to baptize infants was a rejection of the official church and its feudal interests that legitimized the exploitation of the peasants by the feudal lords. Like their 16th century forebears, Latin American Mennonites are beginning to proclaim that Jesus and the Bible help to reorient the disciple and the life of the Mennonite church toward an option for the poor.

This is an option for those people tormented by sorrow, those martyred by suffering—that is, those who are marginalized. It is an option for people that do not cry necessarily for their sins, but because of their condition as outsiders.

It is an option that the church faces in this place of dependency, underdevelopment, and poverty. It is an option that brings the church to declare that poverty is not innocent

neutral, but that it is something tal—destructive to life. The option r the poor should bring Mennonite lievers to ethical and prophetic dignation, understanding that God es not want poverty because it is e fruit of great injustice that cries heaven like the blood of Abel irdered by Cain (Genesis 4:10). Poverty is not something idental. Moreover, the existence the poor does not result from mething missing on the part of a ople, an inferiority or a lack of ucation. It is historically caused, e result of the rich countries that rvive through political, economic, d social structures that originate

Because of this, Latin American abaptists ask the Mennonites of First World, What is the ogram of the Mennonite churches the First World to be in solidarity the poor?

ace: Well-Being d Just Relations

The third challenge that the nnonite church in Latin America es is to make peace. This is ectly related to the option for the or, for one cannot have peace

(well-being) if there is poverty. That is so because one makes peace to the extent that one seeks the common good and the establishment of right in the life of the poor majority.

The practice of peace in this continent translates into our determination to transform a social order that generates military dictators, death squads, foreign interventions, constant violation of civil rights, military armament, and institutionalized corruption. Because of all that, one does not speak of peace in heaven and glory on earth, but of peace on earth and glory in heaven.

The challenge consists in not making a peace of tranquility, passiveness, or absence of conflict, but a peace that has to do with just human relations, conducive to the well-being of persons. It is a peace that is willing to have enemies, but tries not to exclude those enemies from love and a life of peace. It is a way of learning and living peace in conflict.

A Church of Hope and the Way

In spite of conflict, the Mennonite church wants to develop a





consciousness of being on the way, going in the direction of hope. The church accepts that it has not come to the goal, for there are still failures and occasions of unfaithfulness to Jesus. But on the other hand, there is no reason to despair, for Jesus Christ, the Bible, and Anabaptism encourage, exhort, and direct the church toward hope. This duality always has existed.

On occasion one observes groups of unfaithful Christians that have been instruments of death and in part responsible for the death of indigenous populations, for the oppression of a great number of blacks, and for the establishment of unjust social, political, and economic systems. But the church also has had the testimony of Christian groups that have been instruments of liberation.

da

in

rie

m

Looking at the history of Latin America, one hopes that these liberating groups can listen to the Anabaptist cries characterized by faith in Jesus Christ, by a love for his Kingdom, and by a love for our continent.

Luis Elier Rodríguez (pictured above) is chaplain at the Mennonite Hospital in Aibonito, Puerto Rico. Translated from Spanish by David Graybill.